

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 12/27/2001 10/026,938 Hiroki Takeuchi 046103-5011 7305 EXAMINER 9629 7590 03/21/2005 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP SELLERS, ROBERT E 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20004

1712

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			u 1
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/026,938	TAKEUCHI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert Sellers	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			·
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2005.	
		s action is non-final.	
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-11 and 15-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-11 and 15-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2001 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Todomath Office.			

Application/Control Number: 10/026,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. This application has been transferred due to the retirement of Examiner David Aylward. The amendment after Final rejection filed February 28, 2005 has been entered. The Final rejection mailed January 29, 2004 is withdrawn and prosecution is hereby reopened with the following election of species requirement.

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- a) The coloring agents of claim 4 such as the carbon black defined in claims 15-18.
- b) The thermosetting resins wherein if an epoxy resin is elected, a particular species thereof is identified from claim 3.
 - c) The inorganic fillers of claim 8.
- d) The inorganic fillers with the surface treatment by a coupling agent of claims 9 and 18, or without the surface treatment. If the inorganic fillers with the surface treatment is elected, a particular type of coupling agent is selected from a silane, titanate or aluminate as described on page 15, lines 4-5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species within each of items a) to d) herinabove for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11 and 15-18 are generic.

Art Unit: 1712

A reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Bob Goodell on March 17, 2005 to request an oral election to the above election of species requirement, but did not result in elections being made. The reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/026,938 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application.

Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Sellers
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712